UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

	Plair	ntiff,	Case No. 2:22-cr-03			
	VS.		GOVERNMENT'S INITIAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE SUMMARY STATEMENT			
BRA	DFOR	D PAUL STORTI,				
	Defe	ndant/				
I.	DISCOVERY					
A.	Statements of Defendant					
	1.	Oral Statements [Rule 16(a)	(1)(A)]			
		There are no written records in Rule $16(a)(1)(A)$.	s of oral statements or other oral statements as defined			
		There are the following writ				
	2.	Written or Recorded Statem	ents [Rule 16(a)(1)(B)]			
		There are the following writ	rded statements or grand jury testimony of defendant. eten or recorded statements or grand jury testimony: t to MCSO Detective Jason Hart on April 30, 2020.			
		All written or recorded state	ense counsel			
B.	Defe	Defendant's Prior Record [Rule 16(a)(1)(D)]				
	The The	The government has made due inquiry and is not aware of any prior criminal record. The government has disclosed defendant's prior criminal history. The government is now making inquiry into defendant's prior criminal history. The results will be disclosed to defense counsel upon receipt.				
C.	Docu	Documents and Tangible Objects [Rule 16(a)(1)(E)]				
	The government has no documents, tangible objects, or physical evidence required to be disclosed.					

Case 2:22-cr-00003-HYJ-MV ECF No. 22, PageID.43 Filed 04/21/22 Page 2 of 3

\boxtimes	The government has the following documents, tangible objects, and physical evidence:						
	☐ Controlled Substances:						
	☐ Drug Paraphernalia:						
	⊠ Records: certified felony conviction records from U.S. District Court, Western						
Distric	t of Michigan and State of Michigan, Marquette County.						
	☐ Drug Records:						
	☐ Firearms:						
	☐ Inventory (attached)						
	☑ Other: HP Pavilion laptop computer and associated Seagate hard drive, Samsung						
Galaxy	Galaxy J5 cell phone and photos (non-CSAM) of young boys recovered from phone, audio						
recording of interview with defendant, photographs taken at search warrant execution, CSAM							
images	s and videos.						
\boxtimes	The government voluntarily notifies the defendant of the following search warrants						
	issued and the warrant returns:						
	⊠ State: 96 th Judicial District, State of Michigan, No. 2015200160, dtd April 30, 2020						
	☐ Federal (list case number and caption)						
\square	They have been made excitable for inspection and convince by defence council						
\square	They have been made available for inspection and copying by defense counsel.						
	Defense counsel should make arrangements with undersigned government counsel.						
D.	Reports of Examinations and Tests [Rule 16(a)(1)(F)]						
٠.	reports of Entirmations and Tosts (Testo To(a)(1)(1))						
	The government has no reports of examinations or tests required to be disclosed by Rule						
	16.						
\boxtimes	The government has or expects to have reports of the following examinations and tests:						
	☐ Drug Analysis ☐ Handwriting ☐ Fingerprints						
	□ DNA □ Firearms/Nexus □ Gun Operability						
	□ Computer Forensics/cell phone forensics □ Other:						
E.	Reciprocal Discovery						
\boxtimes	The government seeks reciprocal discovery.						
Б	Notice Linder EDE 404(h)/414						
F.	Notice Under FRE 404(b)/414						
	The government does not presently intend to introduce 404(b) evidence.						
\boxtimes	The government does not presently intend to introduce 404(b) evidence. The government does presently intend to introduce the following 404(b)/414 evidence:						
evidence of defendant's past admissions that he had searched for and possessed CSAM.							
☐ The government will provide pretrial notice of 404(b) evidence by:							
Ine government win provide premai notice of 404(0) evidence by							

Notice Under FRCP 16(a)(1)(G): The government hereby provides notice of its intent to call Dr. Francis Darr as an opinion witness under FRE 702 as a pediatrician to testify about the age of the children depicted in the CSAM images and videos listed in the indictment. The government also intends to call former Michigan State Police Computer Crimes Unit analyst Jeffrey Martin as an opinion witness under FRE 702 in the field of computer forensic analysis to testify about the Axiom forensic software extractions he performed on the HP laptop and

Case 2:22-cr-00003-HYJ-MV ECF No. 22, PageID.44 Filed 04/21/22 Page 3 of 3

associated Seagate hard drive, as well as the Samsung cell phone. Finally, the government intends to call HSI Computer Forensics Agent Chad Cable as an opinion witness under FRE 702 in the field of computer forensic analysis to testify about the Cellebrite forensic software extraction he performed on the Samsung cell phone.

G.	Other Discovery Matters:	None.
	•	

II. TRIAL

- A. The government requests a \boxtimes jury \square non-jury trial.
- B. Length of trial excluding jury selection is estimated at 1.5 2 days

III. <u>MISCELLANEOUS</u>

- \square This case may be appropriate for expedited resolution.
- The government is unaware at this time of any known conflict with defendant's representation by counsel. The United States will immediately advise counsel if any such conflict becomes known.
- \Box The government is aware of the following potential conflict(s):
- ☐ Government's plea negotiation policy: provided via separate correspondence.

Date: April 21, 2022 Paul D. Lochner

Assistant United States Attorney